REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims

of the application. The status of the claims is as follows:

• Claims 1-38 were pending prior to the response.

• Claims 14-22 are canceled herein.

• Claims 37 and 38 are amended herein.

• Claims 1-13 and 23-38 remain pending in this application.

The amendments submitted are to correct typographical errors and do not

introduce any new matter.

Previous Claims Rejections Under 35 USC § 101 and § 103

Applicant appreciates Examiner's withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 101 and § 103

rejections set forth in the previous Office Action.

Allowed Claims

The Examiner indicates that claims 1-13 and 23-38 are allowable. Applicant

thanks the Examiner for allowing claims 1-13 and 23-38 and appreciates the Examiner's

assistance in advancing prosecution of the application. Applicant amends claims 37 and

38 to recite the word "and" to correct the typographical errors. Thus, Claims 1-13 and

23-38 have not been amended substantively and remain in condition for allowance.

Serial No.: 10/760,975 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1801US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-12- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256

Cited Documents

The following documents have been applied to reject one or more claims of the

Application:

• Heikes: Heikes, et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0225847

• **Danker**: Danker, et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0184309

• **Hickman**: Hickman, et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,013,327

• **Dawson**: Dawson, U.S. Patent No. 6,252,588

• Goodwin III: Goodwin III, et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No.

2002/0065931

• Goldshneider: Goldshneider, et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No.

2002/0107925

• **Huntington**: Huntington, et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No.

2003/0131098

• **Zhao**: Zhao, U.S. Patent No. 7,353,253.

Claims 14, 17, and 21 Are Non-Obvious Over Heikes and Danker

Claims 14, 17, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

obvious over Heikes and Danker. Applicant respectfully cancels claims 14, 17, and 21

without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the rejection

of claims 14, 17, and 21 is now moot.

Claims 15 and 16 Are Non-Obvious Over Heikes, Danker, and Hickman

Claims 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

obvious over Heikes, Danker, and Hickman. Applicant respectfully cancels claims 15

Serial No.: 10/760,975

Atty Docket No.: MS1-1801US *Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson*

-13- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256

and 16 without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the

rejection of claims 15 and 16 is now moot.

Claims 15 and 16 Are Non-Obvious Over Heikes, Danker, and Dawson

Claims 15 and 16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

obvious over Heikes, Danker, and Dawson. Applicant respectfully cancels claims 15 and

16 without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the

rejection of claims 15 and 16 is now moot.

Claim 18 Is Non-Obvious Over Heikes, Danker, and Goodwin

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over

Heikes, Danker, and Goodwin. Applicant respectfully cancels claim 18 without

prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the rejection of claim

18 is now moot.

Claim 19 Is Non-Obvious Over Heikes, Danker, Goldshneider, and Huntington

Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over

Heikes, Danker, Goldshneider, and Huntington. Applicant respectfully cancels claim 19

without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the rejection

of claim 19 is now moot.

Serial No.: 10/760,975 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1801US

Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

-14- lee@hayes The Business of IP®

www.leehayes.com • 509.324.9256

Claim 20 Is Non-Obvious Over Heikes, Danker, Goldshneider, Huntington, and

Zhao

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over

Heikes, Danker, Goldshneider, Huntington, and Zhao. Applicant respectfully cancels

claim 20 without prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the

rejection of claim 20 is now moot.

Claim 22 Is Non-Obvious Over Heikes, Danker, and the Official Notice

Claim 22 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being obvious over

Heikes, Danker, and the Official Notice. Applicant respectfully cancels claim 22 without

prejudice, waiver, or disclaimer of the subject matter. Accordingly, the rejection of claim

22 is now moot.

Serial No.: 10/760,975 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1801US Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application.

If any issues remain that would prevent allowance of this application, <u>Applicant</u> requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned representative before issuing a subsequent Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC Representative for Applicant

/Shirley L. Anderson/

Shirley L. Anderson (shirley@leehayes.com; 509-944-4758) Registration No. 57763

Colin D. Barnitz (colin@leehayes.com; 512-456-5992) Registration No. 35061

Serial No.: 10/760,975 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1801US Atty/Agent: Shirley L. Anderson Dated: November 29, 2011